

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/718,718	11/24/2003	Eden Jung-Yu Chen	4006-278	9955
22429	7590 03/07/2006		EXAMINER	
	UPTMAN GILMAN A	ZIMMERMAN, BRIAN A		
1700 DIAGO SUITE 300 /	ONAL ROAD /310		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDI	RIA, VA 22314		2635	
			DATE MAILED: 03/07/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ø
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/718,718	CHEN, EDEN JUNG-YU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Brian A. Zimmerman	2635	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence add	iress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. ply be timely filed "HS from the mailing date of this cor ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a)☐ This action is FINAL.	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with definition 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	•	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National S	Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su		
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO- _	·152)

・ できることでは、100mmのでは、

Application/Control Number: 10/718,718

Art Unit: 2635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Regarding claim 2, the claim is not written in such language to clearly convey the bounds of the limitations of the claims. It appears that the claim sets forth that the table in the lock can be updated and edited. If this is not the interpretation of the claim, then further refinement of the language of this claim is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 4 are objected to because of the following informalities: there appears to be some typographical errors in these claims. Claim 3 it appears that the term "a data" in line 1 should be "the data" since the limitation which follows appears to be directed to the data that was already introduced. Claim 4, it appears that the term "lots certified" should be changed to be "lots of certified." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2635

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Henderson (6842105) and Tischendorf (5933086).

Tischendorf teaches a key-lock system that includes transmitters and receivers at the key and the lock. The communication between the key and the lock use frequency hopping spread spectrum and include a mode where the lock's list of authorized keys can be modified, col. 21 lines 45+. Upon receipt of a key code, the lock compares the key code to the list of authorized key codes and authorizes unlocking of the lock if the key code is on the list.

In an analogous art, Henderson teaches a key-lock system that includes transmitters and receivers at the key and the lock. The Henderson system operates such that both the key and the lock maintain lists of codes that can be edited and updated, figures 13 and 14. The key and lock each maintain a log of activity to pass on to the central controller for analysis. The communication between the key or the lock and the central controller (external device) use data lines to convey inputs and outputs to and from the central controller to and from the lock or key.

三年 中海海 湯 湯 湯 子に

The claims set forth D-A converting and A-D converting. The examiner interprets the references as inherently requiring such elements since the digital

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/718,718

Art Unit: 2635

data in the references is modulated (D-A) and then demodulated at the receiver (A-D) to communicate the baseband digital data.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian A. Zimmerman whose telephone number is 571-272-3059. The examiner can normally be reached on 7 am to 4 pm E.S.T.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Horabik can be reached on 571-272-3068. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brian Zimmerman

BRIANZIMMERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER